Procedure for asking Supple enteries

Mr. SPEAKER.—I had promised to hear three hon. Members, during question Hour.

†ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಬಂಗಾರಪ್ಪ (ಸೊರಬ).—ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೇಳತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ 'ನಾಟ್ ಟು ಬಿ ರಿಕಾರ್ಡೆಡ್' ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಿ. ಇದು ದೇಶದ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಸಂದರ್ಭ ಬಂದಾಗ ಅವರು ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಯಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು 'ನಾಟ್ ಟು ಬಿ ರಿಕಾರ್ಡೆಡ್' ಎಂದು ತಾವು ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಿ. I am raising this point of order under Rule 311 read with 314 and 315: ಒಂದುವೇಳೆ ಇನ್‌ಡಿಸೆಂಟ್ ಆಗಿದ್ದು ಅನ್‌ಪಾರ್ಲಿಮೆಂಟರಿ ಪ್ರಯೋಗ ಒಬ್ಬ ಸದಸ್ಯ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿದ್ದೇ ಆದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಪೆಂಜ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಇದೆ. ಆದರೆ 'ನಾಟ್ ಟು ಬಿ ರಿಕಾರ್ಡೆಡ್' ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಇದೆಯೇ?

> "Rule 314: The Secretary shall cause to be prepared a full report of the proceedings of the Assembly at each of its sitting and shall, as soon as practicable, publish it in such form and manner as the Speaker may, from time to time, direct.

ಈ ಅಸೆಂಬ್ಲಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನೂ ಆಫಿಷಿಯರ್ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟರ್ಸ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರ ಹುಕುಂ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ರಿಕಾರ್ಡ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾ ಇರಬೇಕು.

> "Rule 315: If the Speaker is of opinion that a word or words has or have been used in debate which is or are defamatory or indecent or unparliamentary or undignified, he may at his discretion, order that such word or words be expunged from the proceedings of the Assembly.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Chair has a right to direct reporters not to take down proceedings which are not according to rules. I have already given that ruling.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಬಂಗಾರಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು ಈ ದಿನ ರೂಲಿಂಗ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟದ್ದು ಬೇರೆ. ಈಗೊತ್ತು ನಾನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು 'ನಾಟ್ ಟು ಬಿ ರಿಕಾರ್ಡೆಡ್' ಅಂದಮೇಲೆ ಅವರು ಯಾವಾಗ ರಿಕಾರ್ಡ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು? ಈ ಸದನವ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಬೇಕಾದಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳೂ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವೆಲ್ಲಾ ಹೈಲೆ ಇಲ್ಲೀಗಲ್ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ. ನನ್ನ ಮಾತು ಮುಗಿದಮೇಲೆ ಶಿವಪ್ಪನವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪನವರೂ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇವೆಲ್ಲಾ 'ಎಗೆನ್ಸ್ಟ್ ದಿ ರೂಲ್ಸ್' ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಇವೊತ್ತೇ ರೂಲಿಂಗ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I reserve my ruling. I shall give my ruling later.

† Sri D. B. KALMANKAR (Aland).—My point is that, when Sri L. Srikantiah wanted to put a question and wanted to know the policy, he was not permitted. Even as per rule 36 (m):

> 'It shall not raise questions of policy too large to be dealt with within the limits of an answer to a question.'

Here it was not such a big question of policy which required some time for announcement. It was a short question which could be answered

very shortly. Even under rule 44, after a question has been answered, any member may put a supplementary question for the purpose of further eliciting any matter which is relevant to the subject matter.

MR. SPEAKER.—I have already given my ruling. There is no point of order.

† SRI D. M. SIDDIAH (Beligere).—My point of order is regarding the procedure to be followed in this August House for putting supplementaries. As you are aware Sir, my question related to Gandhi Smarak Nidhi; it was a very important question. I put one supplementary question but my main supplementary remained to be put. Whereas Sri Srikantiah when he wanted to put a supplementary, you were pleased to ask him not to put. Immediately, half-a-dozen friends got up on the other side including the Leader of the Opposition and pleaded that the question may be permitted, Sri Srikantiah was allowed by the Chair. Because half-a-dozen members got up, you yielded and allowed Mr. Srikantiah to put the supplementary. But he refused to put the question and he walked out. Whenever supplementaries are put, it is understood that the supplementaries should be within the purview of the main question. My question regarding Gandhi Smaraka Nidhi because no less a person than Sri K. V. Puttappa made a statement that the spending of funds of Gandhi Smarak Nidhi have been a colossal waste. He wanted that all the funds to be diverted to provide drinking water wells in rural areas. Therefore I wanted to ask a supplementary in this connection and I was not allowed by the Speaker, whereas Mr. Srikantiah was allowed because the Hon. Leader of the Opposition and half-a-dozen members on the other side got up and pleaded their case. I want to know whether the Speaker wants that the Leader of the House, the Chief Minister, should also similarly get up and plead for me in the same way as the Leader of the Opposition, Sri Sivappa did for Mr. Srikantiah? I wanted to know the procedure for putting the supplementary.

MR. SPEAKER.—There is no point of order. The point raised by the hon. Member Sri D. M. Siddiah has no force in it. I have already said here that in order to see that questions are answered orally on the floor of the House, I would be allowing one or two supplementaries to the Member putting the question and one or two to others, and if it is a question of a local nature, only the member putting the question will be allowed to put supplementaries. That is the position. Accordingly, I conceded two supplementaries to the hon. Member Sri D. M. Siddiah. Further when a question is sufficiently discussed, it is not necessary that every Member should be permitted to put supplementaries. It is not possible for every member to put supplementaries for want of time. Therefore, it is within the discretion of the Chair and the Chair is guided by the exigencies of the time in regulating the supplementaries. The matter is left to the discretion of the Chair. I do not think there is any force in what the hon. Member said.